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## LIST OF ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AADT</td>
<td>Annual Average Daily Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMF</td>
<td>Crash Modification Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF</td>
<td>Crash Reduction Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>Empirical Bayes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSM</td>
<td>Highway Safety Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBD</td>
<td>Negative Binomial Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHRP</td>
<td>National Cooperative Highway Research Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>National Highway System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHTSA</td>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRHTA</td>
<td>Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRTSC</td>
<td>Puerto Rico Traffic Safety Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROR</td>
<td>Run –off- the Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPF</td>
<td>Safety Performance Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Technical Advisory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION: Crash Trends

WORLDWIDE TRENDS (WHO, 2013)

- WHO estimates that 1.24 million persons were killed on traffic crashes during the year 2010.
- Crashes are the 8th leading cause of death.
- In the future, road traffic injuries will become the 5th leading cause of death.

LOCAL TRENDS (PRTSC, 2014)

- 200,000 crashes occur yearly
- With approximately 35,000 injured and 366 fatalities.
- PR trends suggest that traffic fatalities had been decreasing over the years.
- Average of the last 5 years is 355 traffic fatalities.
In the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Road Safety Projects Division of the PRHTA is in charge of implementing safety countermeasures to existing roads on the island road network.

- Shoulder rumble strips
- Centerline rumble strips
- Crash attenuators
- Pavement marking
- Installation of safety barriers
- Installation of signs
- Pavement rehabilitation and safety improvements

Pavement Rehabilitation and Safety Improvements (AC-200247, Sabana Grande)
INTRODUCTION (cont.)

- **Rumble Strips:**
  - Road safety treatment that produce a vibration or sound that alert drivers if they are leaving the travel way.
  - 250 kilometers of longitudinal rumble strips have been implemented with an estimate investment of 1.8 million dollars in the island road network. (Rivera, 2014)
  - PRHTA finished the first pilot project regarding longitudinal intermittent rumble strips along the NHS PR-52 on 2009.
INTRODUCTION (cont.)

- Crash Modification Factors (CMF):
  - Index that quantifies the expected change in crash frequency if a specific treatment is implemented.
  - CMF<1; Expected Reduction in Crashes
  - CMF>1; Expected Increase in Crashes

- CMF Applications (FHWA, 2010):
  - Estimate the safety effects of various countermeasures
  - Compare safety benefits among various alternatives and locations
  - Test alternative design options

Source:
http://www.highwaysafetymanual.org/
http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/
OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate the pilot project associated with the installation of intermittent longitudinal shoulder rumble strips in the NHS PR-52 toll freeway.
- Perform the Empirical Bayes Method to evaluate the effectiveness of the intermittent longitudinal shoulder rumble strips along the NHS PR-52.
- Development of SPF’s associated to freeway segments for total crashes and ROR crashes.
- Generate CMF’s and CRF’s for intermittent longitudinal shoulder rumble strips.

Note: This paper is associated with the development of simple preliminary Safety Performance Functions associated to freeway segments.
Literature Review
LITERATURE REVIEW

- Types of Rumble Strips

Source: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/
LITERATURE REVIEW (cont.)

- Types of Designs of Rumble Strips

Source: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/
LITERATURE REVIEW (cont.)

- Local and National Design Guidelines for Rumble Strips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>FHWA (TA 5040.39)</th>
<th>PRHTA (DD#409)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-Minimum Shoulder Width (feet)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Lateral Clearance (inches)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Rumble Strips Width (inches)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Rumble Strips Length (inches)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16 to 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Center to Center Spacing (inches)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumble Strips Depth (inches)</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2 to 5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Gap (feet)</td>
<td>10 to 12</td>
<td>6 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Posted Speed (mph)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- FHWA Technical Advisory for Shoulder and Edge Line Rumble Strips (TA 5040.39)
- PRHTA Design Directive for Rumble Strips (DD #409)
MILLED-IN SHOULDER RUMBLE STRIPS: INSTALLATION PLAN FOR PUERTO RICO

Source: PRHTA Rumble Strip Installation/ Milled Shoulder Rumble Strips, GR/1, 2013
## LITERATURE REVIEW (cont.)

### RECENT RESEARCH STUDIES OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RUMBLE STRIPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREATMENT</th>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>TITLE OF INVESTIGATION</th>
<th>METHOD FOR EVALUATION</th>
<th>GENERAL FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- Reduction of 11% of ROR crashes on rural freeways  
- Reduction of 15% of ROR crashes on rural two lane roads  
- Reduction of 22% of ROR crashes on rural multilane divided highways |
| Install shoulder rumble strips | Sayed, de Leur and Pump | 2010 | British Columbia, Canada | Impact of Rumble Strips on Collision Reduction on BC Highways | Before and After Study - Empirical Bayes Method | - Reduction of 18% of severe collisions  
- Reduction of 22.5% of ROR collisions |
| Install shoulder rumble strips | Olson, Sujka and Manchas | 2013 | Washington State | Performance Analysis of Centerline and Shoulder Rumble Strips Installed in Combination in Washington State | - % of Change in Crash Rates | - Reduction of 61.6% of all run off the road collisions  
- Reduction of 53.7% ROR collision involving fatal-serious injuries |
Methodology
METHODOLOGY

This paper focus on Simple SPF
METHODOLOGY: PHASE I

Simple SPF

A. Identify Reference Group

B. Collect Data (Crashes + Independent Variables)

C. Select Homogeneous Segment based upon the Independent Variables to be Evaluated

D. Prepare and Cleanup Database

E. Identify the Type of Model

F. Select the Modelling Tool

- Statistical Software Tool
- Microsoft Excel Solver Tool

Selected Method for Simple SPF
Analysis
STUDY LOCATION

- **TREATMENT NAME:**
  - Milled-in Intermittent Longitudinal Shoulder Rumble Strip

- **PROJECT LOCATION:**
  - PR-52 Freeway
  - Begins: South Caguas Toll Plaza
  - Ends: Exit to the town of Salinas
  - Project Length: 43.2 kilometers
A. IDENTIFICATION OF REFERENCE GROUP

- Segment selection for the reference group were a combination of untreated segments in the NHS PR-52 and untreated segments of the NHS PR-22 with similar characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of the Segments of the Reference Group</th>
<th>NHS PR-52</th>
<th>NHS PR-22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional Classification</td>
<td>Toll Freeway</td>
<td>Toll Freeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Lanes</td>
<td>4 to 6 lanes</td>
<td>4 to 6 lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Width</td>
<td>12 feet</td>
<td>12 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted Speed Limit</td>
<td>55 to 65 mph</td>
<td>55 to 65 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Segments AADT’s (vehicles/day)</td>
<td>70,677</td>
<td>77,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Crashes for Segments (per year)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. DATA COLLECTION

CRASH DATA

- The Crash Analysis Office of the PR Transportation and Highway Authority:
  - Digitalize and create a database of all the crashes (including fatal, injuries and property damage).

- This database provides information of:
  - Case ID
  - Municipality
  - Road number
  - Kilometer
  - Type of severity

TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA

- The Office of Highway System of the PR Transportation and Highway Authority:
  - Creates and maintains the Highway Performance Monitoring System Database.

- This database provides information of:
  - Route Number
  - Municipality
  - Segment length
  - AADT
  - Functional classification
C. SELECT HOMOGENEOUS SEGMENTS

- The segmentation is based upon the Highway Performance Monitoring System Database:
  - Defines segment based upon the change of the Annual Average Daily Traffic.

Assuming a Reference Group with segments up to 6 lanes, segments starts in the intersection with PR-177.
D. PREPARE AND CLEANUP DATABASE

- Inaccurate or incomplete records were eliminated from the database.

- The data cleaning process was performed for the total segments for both freeways, including the reference group.

- A total of 491 crash records were eliminated because they lack the exact location of the crash or had errors related to the exact kilometer location.
E. IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF MODEL

- SPF’s were developed assuming a Negative Binomial Distribution.

- An important parameter for the development of the Empirical Bayes method is the negative binomial dispersion parameter ($\Phi$) obtained from this regression.

- The first preliminary models were performed by fitting a power function.

**SPF #1: Segment Length**

$$E(\mu) = \beta_0 * X_1^{\beta_1}$$

Where,
- $X_1$ is the Segment Length (kms) and $\beta$’s are the parameters

**SPF #2: Segment Length + AADT**

$$E(\mu) = \beta_0 * X_1^{\beta_1} * X_2^{\beta_2}$$

Where,
- $X_1$ is the Segment Length (kms),
- $X_2$ is the Average AADT's (veh/day) and $\beta$’s are the parameters
F. SELECT MODELLING TOOL

- The development of the preliminary SPF’s for this investigation were obtained by using a curve fitting spreadsheet using Microsoft Excel.
  - On a publication from a seminar called “The Art of Regression Modeling in Road Safety” Ezra Hauer suggest this modelling tool for simple SPF.
  - The curve – fitting spreadsheet was used in combination of a function called the “Solver Parameter” which can solve the parameters of practically any function that better fit the model.
Analysis: Step by Step Modelling Process

- Hauer suggest that SPF can be built by adding the variables on the model equation one at a time.

- If the modeler reports every SPF gradually obtained, practitioners than can use the model for which they have data available (Hauer, 2014).

- He suggest to start the modeling process with segment length as a simple model equation and then add the rest of the variables.
SPF #1: Segment Length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model for Each Severity Type</th>
<th>Model for a 2 Year Period</th>
<th>Model for a 3 Year Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta_0$</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Crashes</td>
<td>22.245</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crashes with Injuries</td>
<td>21.899</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Crashes</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The model based upon segment length have a low Pearson Function Index and high overdispersion parameters.
- To better improve the model an additional variable will be added.
- Model Form: $E(\mu) = \beta_0 * X_1^{\beta_1}$, where $X_1$ is segment length (kms)
### SPF #2: Segment Length + AADT Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model for Each Severity Type</th>
<th>Model for a 2 Year Period</th>
<th>Model for a 3 Year Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \beta_0 )</td>
<td>( \beta_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Crashes</strong></td>
<td>0.00042</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crashes with Injuries</strong></td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatal Crashes</strong></td>
<td>0.000034</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Pearson Function Index gets closer to 1 and reflects that there is a better relationship between two data sets (observed vs. fitted values).
- The overdispersion parameter is high which reflects there is greater variability between the two data sets.
- Model Form: \( E(\mu) = \beta_0 * X_1^{\beta_1} * X_2^{\beta_2} \), where \( X_1 \) is segment length (kms) and \( X_2 \) is Average AADT’s (veh/day).
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- This is the first attempts to develop simple SPF for the reference group of freeway segments using Microsoft Excel in order to achieve the objective of creating CMF’s for intermittent shoulder rumble strips on freeways.

- The model that included the variables segment length and AADT’s showed a better relationship between the data sets than the model that only included the variable segment length.

- Due to the lack of fatal crashes per segment, the SPF’s regarding fatal crashes are not well adjusted.

- This is an ongoing investigation and further models will be develop by using a statistical software package.

- Future work will include the inclusion of a model to predict run-off the road crashes for a 2 and a 3 year period. Other variables such as speed limit, terrain and other geometrical characteristics of the reference group will be added to the models.
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